1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	SOLOMONA RICKY PATU,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00641-JCC-JRC
12	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	DAVID DAVID, et al.,	
14	Defendant.	
15	On May 11, 2022, plaintiff, who is housed at the Monroe Correctional Complex in	
16	Monroe, Washington, filed a proposed application to proceed in forma pauperis and a proposed	
17	civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Dkt. 1. Based on plaintiff's proposed	
18	complaint, it is unclear whether venue is proper in the Western District of Washington	
19	or whether this case should be transferred to the Eastern District of Washington. For this reason,	
20	plaintiff needs to show cause by <b>July 14, 2022</b> why this case should not be transferred to the	
21	Eastern District.	
22	DISCUSSION	
23	The court may raise venue <i>sua sponte</i> ("on its own") when the defendant has not filed a	
24		

responsive pleading and the time for doing so has not run. *See Costlow v. Weeks*, 790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th Cir. 1986). If the complaint's jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity, then venue is proper in (1) the district in which any defendant resides, if all of the defendants reside in the same state; (2) the district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated; or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). When venue is improper, the district court has the discretion to either dismiss the case or transfer it "in the interest of justice." *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

Plaintiff names David David, a doctor, and Ms. Shauna Barker, a therapist, as defendants. Dkt. 1. Both defendants appear to be employees of the Washington State Penitentiary ("WSP"), which formerly housed plaintiff. Plaintiff alleges that that defendant David improperly treated plaintiff's stomach infection. *Id.* at 5. Plaintiff also alleges that defendant Barker did not find his stomach condition serious enough to warrant a transfer to another facility. *Id.* at 6.

Although plaintiff filed this cause of action in the Western District of Washington and resides at the Monroe Correctional Complex, which is in the Western District of Washington, it appears that the events described in the complaint occurred at the WSP, which is located in the Eastern District of Washington. Dkt. 1. Further, it does not appear that either defendant is domiciled in Western Washington.

Plaintiff shall file a response by July 14, 2022 explaining why the Court should not transfer this case to the Eastern District of Washington. Plaintiff is directed to carefully review the general venue statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and the change of venue statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1404. If plaintiff's response does not clearly state why this case should remain in this district and not

be transferred, or if plaintiff fails to respond to this Order by July 14, 2022, the Court will proceed with a recommendation that plaintiff's case be transferred to the Eastern District of Washington. Dated this 14th day of June, 2022. J. Richard Creatura Chief United States Magistrate Judge